## Case4:06-cv-02069-SBA Document359 Filed10/30/08 Page1 of 3

1	GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP						
2	WAYNE SCHRADER (SBN 67447) WSchrader@gibsondunn.com						
3	THEODORE J. BOUTROUS JR. (SBN 132099) TBoutrous@gibsondunn.com						
	MARK A. PERRY (SBN 212532)						
4	MPerry@gibsondunn.com GEORGE BROWN (SBN 138590)						
5	GBrown@gibsondunn.com MAURICE SUH (SBN 147485)						
6	MSuh@gibsondunn.com KARL NELSON (pro hac vice) KNelson@gibsondunn.com G. CHARLES NIERLICH (SBN 196611) GNierlich@gibsondunn.com						
7							
8							
9	JULIAN W. POON (SBN 219843)						
	JPoon@gibsondunn.com JAIME DODGE BYRNES (SBN 237991)						
10	JByrnes@gibsondunn.com One Montgomery Street, Suite 3100						
11	San Francisco, CA 94104 Tel: (415) 393-8200						
12	Fax: (415) 986-5309						
13	Attorneys for Defendant						
14	WAL-MART STORES, INC.						
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
16	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
17	IN RE WAL-MART STORES, INC.	CASE NO. C 06-02069 SBA					
18	WAGE AND HOUR LITIGATION						
19		<u>CLASS ACTION</u>					
20		DECLARATION OF JULIAN W. POON IN SUPPORT OF UNOPPOSED					
21	This Document Relates To:	ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO					
22	Case Nos.:	CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD BE RELATED					
23	C 06-02069 SBA (Smith) and						
	C 06-05411 SBA (Ballard)						
24							
25							
26							
27							
28							

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP 

I,	Julian	W.	Poon,	hereby	decl	are:
----	--------	----	-------	--------	------	------

- 1. I am an attorney at law duly licensed to practice before this Court. I am a partner in the law firm of Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP, and represent Defendant Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. ("Wal-Mart"), in the above-captioned cases and in *Rubin v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.* ("*Rubin*"), N.D. Cal. Case No. CV 08-4214 CW, currently pending before the Honorable Claudia Wilken. I am personally familiar with the matters stated herein and if called as a witness, I could and would testify competently thereto.
- 2. I am submitting this declaration in support of Wal-Mart's administrative motion, pursuant to Local Rule 3-12, to request that this Court consider whether the above-captioned action and the *Rubin* action should be related, and the latter action transferred to this Court on the grounds of such relatedness. I am also submitting this declaration pursuant to Local Rule 7-11(a), which requires that parties seeking administrative relief submit either a stipulation of all affected parties, or a "declaration that explains why a stipulation could not be obtained."
- 3. On or about September 16, 2008, Wal-Mart's registered agent for service of process was served with the complaint in *Rubin*.
- 4. Attached as Exhibit A to this Declaration is a true and correct copy of the complaint in the *Rubin* action.
- 5. Attached as Exhibit B to this Declaration is a true and correct copy of the complaint in this action.
- 6. On or about September 18, 2008, I spoke with the *Rubin* Plaintiffs' counsel, who suggested that *Rubin* was related to this action and should be transferred to this Court based on such relatedness.
- 7. On or about September 24, 2008, Wal-Mart and the *Rubin* Plaintiffs agreed that the *Rubin* Plaintiffs would file an administrative motion to decide whether *Rubin* and this action should be related under Local Rule 3-12.
- 8. When I spoke with the *Rubin* Plaintiffs' counsel on October 14, 2008, they again indicated that they were aware of this action when they filed the *Rubin* case, and when I inquired what the status was of the administrative motion on "relatedness" that they had promised to prepare,

## Case4:06-cv-02069-SBA Document359 Filed10/30/08 Page3 of 3

I					
	the Rubin Plaintiffs' counsel promised to get back to me by the end of that week regarding that poin				
	I have never heard back from the Rubin Plaintiffs' counsel to date, despite several more attempts by				
	me and my colleagues to contact them, which proved unsuccessful.				
	9. Attached as Exhibit C to this Declaration is a true and correct copy of a letter I sent the				
	Rubin Plaintiffs' counsel on October 16, 2008, memorializing our telephonic discussion on October				
	14 and advising them that if they did not comply with their obligation to "promptly" notify this Co				
	of the relatedness of the instant case and Rubin, then Wal-Mart would have no choice but to do so				
	itself this week.				
	10. Attached as Exhibit D to this Declaration is a true and correct copy of an e-mail that				
my colleague Kahn Scolnick sent on October 29, 2008, to both the <i>Rubin</i> Plaintiffs' counsel and					
	Plaintiffs' counsel in this case, once again urging a response from Plaintiffs' counsel in this matter.				
	We have received no response from either the Rubin Plaintiffs' counsel or Plaintiffs' counsel in this				
	case.				
	11. Notwithstanding the <i>Rubin</i> Plaintiffs' counsel's agreement to prepare and file this				
	administrative motion and notwithstanding the repeated attempts that my colleagues and I have made				
	to contact the <i>Rubin</i> Plaintiffs' counsel re same, the <i>Rubin</i> Plaintiffs have to date failed to do so.				
	12. Consequently, Wal-Mart is now bringing the instant Motion before this Court witho				
	further delay, mindful of the parties' obligation to "promptly" notify this Court of relatedness under				
	Local Rule 3-12.				
	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the				
	foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 30th day of October, 2008, in Los Angeles, California.				
	By: /s/ Julian W. Poon				
	Julian W. Poon				
	100547512_3.DOC				

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP